

## Appendix 2: Qualification Selection and Review

# **International Competition for Architectural Concept Scheme of Permanent Site of Greater-Bay Science Forum Qualification Selection and Review**

## **I Preface**

1. For the international competition for the architectural concept scheme for the permanent site of the Greater-Bay Science Forum, the method of open solicitation and optimum selection shall be adopted to select the formal participating organizations from all the participating organizations registered as per the rules (including the consortiums, similarly hereinafter).

2. To ensure that the competition is "open, fair and just", the detailed rules for the qualification selection and review shall be formulated as per the relevant national laws and regulations in combination with the characteristics and requirements of this competition.

3. The organizer reserves the rights to interpret and revise the rules.

## **II Qualification Selection and Review Expert Group**

1. The qualification selection and review expert group shall be reviewed and established by the competition committee in accordance with the law.

2. The main responsibility of the qualification selection and review expert group is to carry out careful review to the registration data submitted by the participating organizations, select the best formal participating organizations and complete the review report. All the members of the qualification selection and review expert group for this project have the responsibilities and obligations to carry out confidentiality and commitment to the information submitted by all the participating organizations.

## **III. Qualification Selection Measures**

### **1. Qualification Selection Procedures**

Step 1: Compliance Review;

Step 2: Optimum Review;

Step 3: Complete the Review Report.

### **2. Qualification Selection Method**

#### **2.1 First Stage (Compliance Review)**

All the organizations participating in the **international competition for the architectural concept scheme for the permanent site of the Greater-Bay Science Forum** shall provide registration materials as per the relevant requirements of "registration documents for qualification Selection " in the registration announcement. The competition organization agency will review compliance of the registration materials (for the details, see Attached Table 1). If any item fails to meet the “**Registration Qualification Conditions**”, it will be deemed to fail to meet the compliance review. The competition organization agency will submit the list of organizations meeting the compliance review to the qualification selection and evaluation expert group for review and confirmation.

During the compliance review, the competition organization agency or the qualification selection and evaluation expert group may ask for clarification of the registration documents if there are doubt or unclear matters, and the participating organization must submit clarification materials within the specified time as part of the qualification review data. Inconsistency in the opinions of the qualification selection and review expert group on the qualification of the participating organizations shall be handled in the principle of obedience of the minority to the majority.

If the number of the organizations meeting the compliance review is less than or equal to 9, they will be directly enrolled as the formal participating organizations, will not be preferentially selected. If more than 9 organizations passed the compliance review, the optimum review will be conducted, and the top 9 organizations will be selected as the formal participating organizations.

## 2.2 Second Stage (Optimum Review)

The registered participating organization shall submit the performance data (for the details, see Appendix 1 “Format of the Qualification Selection Registration Document” and Attached Table 2), and the qualification selection and evaluation expert group shall finally select 9 formal participating organizations.

**Attached Table 1: Compliance Review Standard Table**

No.	Review Contents	Eligibility Conditions
1.	Participation Statement	The <b>original copies</b> of the relevant statement documents shall be provided as per the criteria in the "Participation Registration Statement" in the Registration Document for Qualification Selection. (In case of consortium competition, all the parties must provide)
2.	Confidentiality Commitment	The <b>original copies</b> shall be provided as per the criteria in the Confidentiality Commitment in the Registration Document for Qualification Selection. (In case of consortium competition, all the parties must provide)
3.	Business License/Enterprise Registration Certificate	1) The domestic design organizations must have independent legal personalities and business licenses, and affix their official seals. 2) Overseas design organizations (including Hong Kong, Macao and Taiwan regions) must provide the copies of the official enterprise registration certificates and other valid supporting documents (Non-Chinese shall be translated into Chinese.) and affix their official seals;
4.	Consortium Agreement (only applicable to the consortium competition)	The original copy of the consortium agreement shall be provided. The participating member of the consortium can only establish a joint relationship with one participating organization, and make it clear that one party is the main party of the consortium. The original copy shall be affixed with the official seal.
5.	Participation Commitment Letter	The project principal or the creative team of the participating organization shall promise to directly participate in the whole process of this competition and architectural scheme design; (1. Provide the letter of commitment from the participating organization and affix its official seal or signature; 2. Provide the personnel list).
Notes: if the participating organization fails to meet any item of the conditions in this form, it will be deemed to have failed the compliance review.		

**Attached Table 2: Review Rules (Performance Review)**

<b>Review Item</b>	<b>Review Contents</b>		<b>Weight</b>
Awards and Project Experience of Chief Designer	Awards	<p>The awards for design achievements of the conference center or public building projects undertaken shall be comprehensively compared:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The design achievements of the conference center or public building projects won the international well-known awards (such as the Pritzker Architecture Prize, the Gold Nugget Award, the Aga Khan Award for Architecture, the ARCASIA Award, the Open Architecture Prize, the Mies vander Rohe Award, the RIBA Stirling Prize, the Best Architecture Award of World Architecture Festival, the AIA Gold Award, the Golden Lion Lifetime Achievement Award at Venice Architecture Biennale, the Jane Drew Prize, etc.);</li> <li>2. The design achievements of the conference center or public building projects have won the national-level awards (such as the Liang Sicheng Architecture Award, the Luban Prize, the Zhan Tianyou Award for China Civil Engineering, the Green Building Innovation Award, the National Excellent Engineering Survey and Design Award, etc.);</li> </ol> <p>Remarks:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The number of projects reflecting the award-winning situation of the chief designer shall not exceed 10 projects. In case of consortium bidding, the cumulative awards of one party of the consortium will be adopted in comparison (the applicant must directly agree in the registration document that the consortium is scored as per the information of the specific party of the consortium).</li> <li>2) The awards from overseas states or districts are equivalent to those of domestic provincial and ministerial level.</li> </ol>	40%

		<p>3) The award certificate shall prevail; the copies of the award certificates or the official award documents must be provided (affixed with the official seal of the participating organization), otherwise no score will be given.</p> <p>4) For the same project won multiple awards, the highest award shall be provided.</p> <p>5) The public building projects include: the science, education, culture and health buildings (including the conference and exhibition, culture, education, scientific research, medical care, health, sports buildings, etc.), the large-scale transportation facility buildings (including the large-scale railway stations and airports, etc.), the office buildings (including the office buildings, government offices, etc.), the commercial buildings (including the complexes, shopping malls, financial buildings, etc.) and the tourism buildings (including the hotels, entertainment venues, etc.)</p> <p>6) This evaluation is based on the project award quality, rather than the number of awards.</p>	
	Project Experience	<p>Horizontal comparison shall be carried out to the design performance of professional conference center or large public building projects (bid winning or completed) undertaken by the chief designer.</p> <p>Remarks:</p> <p>1) If the participating organization is a consortium, the cumulative design performance of the chief designer of one party of the consortium shall be compared, and the performance of no more than 10 projects will be provided. (The applicant must directly agree in the registration document that the consortium is scored as per the information of the specific party of the consortium).</p> <p>2) The duplicated copies of the key pages of the project design contract stamped with the official seal are taken as the performance</p>	20%

		<p>certificates. (If the area cannot be reflected in the contract, a declaration letter shall be issued and stamped with the official seal of the owner, and if no declaration letter is provided or in other cases, this item will not be scored)</p> <p>3) The design performance includes: schematic design or preliminary design or construction drawing design.</p> <p>4) The public building projects include: the science, education, culture and health buildings (including the conference and exhibition, culture, education, scientific research, medical care, health, sports buildings, etc.), the large-scale transportation facility buildings (including the large-scale railway stations and airports, etc.), the office buildings (including the office buildings, government offices, etc.), the commercial buildings (including the complexes, shopping malls, financial buildings, etc.) and the tourism buildings (including the hotels, entertainment venues, etc.)</p> <p>5) This evaluation is based on the quality of the projects, rather than the number of projects.</p>	
Staffing and Project Experience of Design Team	Personnel Allocation (except the Chief Designer)	<p>Horizontal comparison shall be carried out as per the specialty completeness, domestic and overseas design experience, design achievements, working years, personal qualifications, etc. of the design team.</p> <p>Remarks:</p> <p>1) The allocation requirements for professional personnel: the professional designers in the architectural design, urban and rural planning, landscape design, structural design, traffic design, etc.</p> <p>2) Project experience: no more than 3 copies of the project experience shall be provided by each design team member. If there is an award, it is necessary to indicate and provide the highest award.</p> <p>3) The resumes, professional qualification</p>	15%

		<p>certificates, award certificates, performance of similar projects and social security certificates in recent 6 months shall be attached for the design team members (for the foreign participating organization without the social security certificate, the labor contract or employment certificates shall be provided) (stamped with the official seals of the participating organizations).</p> <p>4) The item score shall be determined based on the personnel quality, rather than the number of personnel.</p>	
	Project Experience	<p>For the design team members (except for the chief designers), the design performance of professional conference center or large public building projects (bid winning or completed) undertaken shall be compared horizontally.</p> <p>Remarks:</p> <p>1) If the participating organization is a consortium in bidding, the evaluation will be based on the cumulative design performance of all the parties of the consortium in this item.</p> <p>2) The duplicated copies of the key pages of the project design contract stamped with the official seal are taken as the performance certificates. (If the area cannot be reflected in the contract, a declaration letter shall be issued and stamped with the official seal of the owner, and if no declaration letter is provided or in other cases, this item will not be scored)</p> <p>3) The design performance includes: schematic design or preliminary design or construction drawing design.</p> <p>4) The public building projects include: the science, education, culture and health buildings (including the conference and exhibition, culture, education, scientific research, medical care, health, sports buildings, etc.), the large-scale transportation facility buildings (including the large-scale railway stations and airports, etc.), the office</p>	25%

		buildings (including the office buildings, government offices, etc.), the commercial buildings (including the complexes, shopping malls, financial buildings, etc.) and the tourism buildings (including the hotels, entertainment venues, etc.)	
--	--	--	--